TAP SFORFT

Approved for Release: 2025/07/25 C05128122

(S) NATIONAL RECONNAISSANCE OFFICE

WASHINGTON, D.C.

THE NRO STAFF

MEMORANDUM FOR MR. PLUMMER

SUBJECT: Itek's Analysis of the Metric Pan

A copy of Itek's briefing to Dr. Cook and Dr. Hall which raised questions about the utility and feasibility of the Metric Pan System (MPS) is at TAB A. Itek had two main points:

 $\,$ A. An MPS with a 5 arc second attitude error would not be useful, and

B. The ability to hold the error to 5 seconds is doubtful.

We had DMA double check the vertical positional error claimed by Itek due to the 5 second attitude error, and they determined that Itek must have been assuming a scan angle of 60°. As DMA's 25 February 1975 input to us shows (TAB B), a 5 second MPS will not meet the requirement outside 45° scan. DMA has considered this in their collection analysis, as we take little imagery outside 45°.

Lt Colonel Hutchison, Colonel Anderson (SP's HEXAGON Program Manager), and Dr. Howard of Aerospace reviewed the Perkin-Elmer error budget and supporting analyses and test results at the Danbury plant on 16 October 1975. As the result of that review, it was concluded that the largest concern of Itek's, 20 microns of non-linear smear, was invalid. It was also concluded, however, that Perkin-Elmer's 4.9 second error budget might be optimistic, more suitable for a goal than a hard specification. A summary of Perkin-Elmer's analysis is at TAB C.

At this point DMA stated that their analysis (TAB B) shows that 5 seconds is acceptable as a goal, if 10 seconds is set as the limit. Based on this 5 to 10 second requirement set by DMA, SAFSP concluded that the MPS was a low risk program.

HEXAGON

TRIENT-KEVHOLE

HANDLE VIA BYEMAN CONTROL SYSTEM

CLASSIFIED BY BYEMAN I EXEMPT FROM GENERAL DECL ASSIFICATION SCHEDULE OF -EXECUTIVE ORDER 11652 EXEMPTION CATE-GORY 562 DECLASSIFY ON IMP DET. CONTROL NO Internal 2_cones COPY____OF__

Approved for Release: 2025/07/25 C05128122

It is our understanding that Itek has presented their analysis to ASD(I) and generated enough concern for Dr. Hall to delay sending a Mapping Camera System/MPS decision memorandum until after he has consulted with you.

In summary, we have shown the Itek Analysis to be invalid, and it is recommended that it be so reflected in your discussion with Dr. Hall. There is no technical reason that this decision memorandum should be further delayed.

HAROLD P. WHEELER, JR. Colonel, USAF Director

Attachments

BYEMAN /TALENT-KEYHOLE CONTROL SYSTEM

CLASSIFIED BY BYEMAN I EXEMPLE FROM GENERAL DECLASSIFICATION GORGOULE OF EXEMPTION CATE

CONTROL NO Internal COPY OF 2 COPIES PAGE 2 OF 2 PAGES

12

5 SEC PAN

WITH

ABSOLUTE POSITIONING (METERS, (17)

PEOUI PEMENTS

36

32

KEC	KOIKEMENIS		
	6/75 85/15	15/55	
J	ISOP (EXPECTED	
usur	TECHNICAL	FUTURE .	
FIRM	OBJECTIVE	REQMT (1,4)	
r 10%	· MIC		
9 64 62	36 12	29 /0,7	

ECTED URE MT (1,4)	CURRENT FRAME(I)	
29 /07	19 /	
29 10.7	19	

18 10,6

FRAME WITH GEOPACK		
10% 35	13	
	• 13	

12		

1965

USIB

64

64

55

NOTES (1) SOURCE: DMA

(2) ABSOLUTE ATTITUDE DETERMINATION

64 62

- (3) GEOPACK OPERATIONAL ON SV-13 (1977)
- (4) COVERAGE 3000 HARD TARGETS IN DENIED AREAS

CAPABILITY

& SEC PAN

GEOPAC

Approved for Release: 2025/07/25 C05128122

RELATIVE POSITIONING (METERS, 17)

		REQUIREMENTS		
		1:50,000 CLASS A MAP	PAVE STRIKE	1: <i>2</i> 50,000 CLASS A MAP
	7 _x	12	13	59
Approv	$\nabla_{\mathbf{y}}$	12	13	59
ed for Rel	$\Delta^{\mathbf{z}}$	3	9	15
ease: 2025		10-20 N. MI	300 N. MI.	20 N. MI.
Approved for Release: 2025/07/25 C05128122		*	SELECTED	
122	(1, 1)		•	

	<u>dapabili</u>) /
CURRENT FRAME	2 SEC RAN	5 SEC PAN
8	2	4
8	4/- 1	8 - 14
6 ⁽¹⁾	/8 ⁽²⁾	15 ⁽²⁾

NOTES

- 1) PRODUCTION ACTUALS
- 2) BEST-CASE ESTIMATE INCLUDING ATTITUDE ERROR AND 10 MM MENSURATION ERROR ONLY; ONLY 2 MODELS

SOURCES OF UNCERTAINTY IN PAN CALIBRATION

- STUDY 10176 (1974) ADVANCED PAN CAMERA BREADBOARD TEST
 ± 20 MICRONS DYNAMIC FILM STRETCH
- STUDY 10092 (1975) PAN CALIBRATION VERIFICATION (SV 7)

UNEXPLAINED INCONSISTENCY BETWEEN SCAN ANGLE AND TIMING MARK POSITIONS

SOURCES OF PAN ERROR

SEC DYNAMICS BETWEEN SCAN ANGLE MARKS. .001 INCH BEARING RUNOUT 2.0 .0002 April 13 BIT ENCODER (J% CALIBRATION ACCURACY) 1.0 STELLAR TO PAN BORESIGHT (KNEE) **CALIBRATION** 2.0 STELLAR TO PAN BORESIGHT STABILITY **CATTITUDE SENSOR INTERNAL STABILITY** 1.0 1.8 SCAN RATE UNCERTAINTY <u>2.0</u> PLATEN-LENS LOCK UNCERTAINTY <1 . R SS TOTAL 4.9

CONCLUSION: 5 SEC REQUIRES UNREALISTIC ERROR BUDGET

REASONS FOR MORE FRAME CAMERAS

- UNCERTAINTY IF PAN CAN BE CALIBRATED TO REQUIRED ACCURACY
- IMPROVED ABSOLUTE POSITIONING WITH GEOPACK STARTING IN 1977
- ECONOMY IN MAP PRODUCTION

pproved for Release: 2025/07/25 C05128122

- RELIABILITY LOSS OF SINGLE PAN MEANS NO MAPPING
 - LOSS OF SINGLE STELLAR HAS 3X IMPACT ON PAN COMPARED TO FRAME
- DEDICATED COVERAGE FOR MAPPING REQUIREMENTS